

Hinrich Foundation Sustainable Trade Index

Country overview: **Philippines**



Philippines ranks 13th on inaugural Hinrich Foundation Sustainable Trade Index

The country performs as its level of per capita GDP predicts, also 13th on the list

The Hinrich Foundation, a Hong Kong-based philanthropic institution, has commissioned The Economist Intelligence Unit to build a Sustainable Trade Index to measure the capacity of various countries to participate in the international trading system in a manner that supports the long-term domestic and global goals of economic growth, environmental protection and strengthened social capital.¹

The Index includes a number of indicators, grouped in these three pillars, that together measure whether a country is engaged in sustainable trade; i.e. trade that promotes inclusive growth for all—including future generations—within and beyond a country's borders.²

Despite its higher ranking in the economic and environmental pillars, the Philippines placed thirteenth overall in the inaugural Index, weighed down by a significant underperformance on the social pillar as a

result of its high inequality, poor education, and weak labour standards.³

A middle-income economy (see Figure 1.2 below), the Philippines sees modest growth in per capita GDP, following Singapore closely, and is among the top 10 of the 20 economies in the index with a less exchange rate volatility and lower foreign trade and payments risk.

As the ADB noted, the success of the export-oriented “growth with equity” model of South Korea, Japan and Taiwan in the 1960s and 1970s was not replicable in subsequent

decades, and rising inequality has become a problem in poorer countries in South-East Asia that have tried to emulate them. It explains in part why the Philippines scores relatively poorly in the social pillar of the Index, with the 4th-highest Gini coefficient.⁴

In fact, the Philippines is somewhat unique in its relative lack of a manufacturing sector, the mainstay of most Asian economies climbing up the development ladder.

“The traditional profile [of economic development] is from agriculture-based [employment] to manufacturing to services,” notes Stephen Groff of the ADB. “The Philippines skipped the manufacturing step, which means there’s still a lot of low-productivity agriculture and a lot of poverty; there’s no manufacturing sector that would be drawing low-skilled employment away and then transitioning to





Figure 1.3: Performance vs income

Country	Per-capita GDP 2014 (nominal US\$)	A: GDP rank	B: Index rank	Over/under-performance (A-B)
Singapore	56,287	1	1	0
South Korea	28,166	6	2	4
Japan	36,326	5	3	2
USA	54,412	2	4	-2
Hong Kong	40,240	4	5	-1
Taiwan	22,605	7	6	1
Malaysia	11,307	8	7	1
Thailand	6,020	10	8	2
Brunei	40,724	3	9	-6
Sri Lanka	3,675	11	9	2
Vietnam	2,010	14	11	3
China	7,690	9	12	-3
Philippines	2,873	13	13	0
Indonesia	3,508	12	14	-2
India	1,634	16	15	1
Cambodia	1,084	19	16	3
Laos	1,709	15	17	-2
Bangladesh	1,095	18	18	0
Pakistan	1,320	17	19	-2
Myanmar	811	20	20	0

Source: The Economist Intelligence Unit.

services. The service sector is productive, but as a percent of total employment, it's low. There are not a lot of options in rural areas—they can't work in [business process outsourcing] or anything like that."⁵

Other challenges facing the Philippines include its poor score on the corruption and political stability indicators, which likely played a role in its low ranking in attracting foreign direct investment -- despite its physical and technological infrastructure.⁶



The Philippines' poor showing in the social pillar is a key dimension in its overall performance in all three pillars used by the index.

As for its labour force, the Philippines posted the second-highest growth in the index and is among the top 10 countries ranked on the percentage of individuals receiving tertiary education.⁷

Figure 4.1: Environmental pillar results

Rank	Country	Score/100
1	Hong Kong	93.4
2	Singapore	92.2
3	Japan	85.0
4	South Korea	83.0
5	USA	74.9
6	Philippines	71.0
7	Thailand	66.2
8	Sri Lanka	63.1
9	Malaysia	61.1
10	Taiwan	59.3
11	Vietnam	57.0
12	Cambodia	56.8
13	Brunei	56.1
14	Bangladesh	52.3
15	China	52.0
16	Indonesia	50.0
17	Laos	48.2
18	Pakistan	47.8
19	India	47.2
20	Myanmar	45.9

Source: The Economist Intelligence Unit

Figure 4.3: Air pollution

Rank	Country	Score/100
1	Singapore	100.0
2	Philippines	96.5
3	USA	94.6
=4	Sri Lanka	90.6
=4	Brunei	90.6
6	Indonesia	90.5
7	Cambodia	89.7
8	Malaysia	87.2
9	Japan	84.8
10	Myanmar	79.1
=11	Taiwan	78.0
=11	Thailand	78.0
13	Hong Kong	76.2
14	Vietnam	70.3
15	South Korea	65.6
16	Laos	62.7
17	Pakistan	43.5
18	Bangladesh	42.9
19	India	37.4
20	China	0.0

Source: EIU score based on Yale EPI

In the environmental pillar, the Philippines secures a place among the top six (with a second place ranking on air pollution), at least partially reflecting its relative lack of pollution-generating industries.

It is also worth noting that the Philippines has signed a number of treaties on environmental protection, equaling the performance of Japan, South Korea and the US, towards the top of this indicator.

Figure 4.4: Carbon emissions in trade

Rank	Country	Score/100
1	Singapore	100.0
2	Hong Kong	99.3
3	Laos	91.1
4	Cambodia	89.6
5	Brunei	86.7
6	Taiwan	84.7
7	Malaysia	84.3
8	South Korea	84.0
9	Sri Lanka	79.2
10	Thailand	78.3
11	Myanmar	76.9
12	Japan	76.1
13	Philippines	73.1
14	Vietnam	69.2
15	Bangladesh	59.9
16	USA	52.6
17	Indonesia	49.6
18	China	13.3
19	India	11.0
20	Pakistan	0.0

Source: EIU score based on OECD, WTO, and academic research

Figure 4.5: Environmental standards in trade

Rank	Country	Score/100	Data
=1	China	100.0	7
=1	Hong Kong	100.0	7
=3	Japan	83.3	6
=3	Philippines	83.3	6
=3	South Korea	83.3	6
=3	USA	83.3	6
=7	Cambodia	66.7	5
=7	India	66.7	5
=7	Indonesia	66.7	5
=7	Malaysia	66.7	5
=7	Pakistan	66.7	5
=7	Singapore	66.7	5
=7	Thailand	66.7	5
=7	Vietnam	66.7	5
=15	Laos	50.0	4
=15	Sri Lanka	50.0	4
=17	Bangladesh	33.3	3
=17	Brunei	33.3	3
=17	Myanmar	33.3	3
20	Taiwan	0.0	1

* NB: This includes: 1) Membership of the WTO's Green Goods group; 2) The Convention on the Prevention of Marine Pollution by dumping of wastes or other matter 3) The Convention on the Protection of the Ozone Layer 4) The Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 5) The International Timber Agreement; 6) The Convention on International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Flora and Fauna; 7) The Rotterdam Convention on the Prior Informed Consent Procedure for Certain Hazardous Chemicals and Pesticides in International Trade.

Source: EIU score based on membership or ratification of international environmental compacts*

The Hinrich Foundation Sustainable Trade Index was created for the purpose of stimulating meaningful discussion of the full range of considerations that policy makers, business executives, and civil society leaders must take into account when managing and advancing international trade. The index measures nineteen countries in Asia and the US across the three recognized pillars of sustainability: economic (“profit”), social (“people”), and environmental (“planet”). In this year’s index, Singapore, South Korea, and Japan placed in the top three slots, with Bangladesh, Pakistan, and Myanmar rounding out the bottom three.

The index workbook and white paper are available for download at the www.hinrichfoundation.com/trade-research/sustainable-trade-index.

Questions and comments can be sent to index@hinrichfoundation.com.

¹ The Hinrich Foundation Sustainable Trade Index, 7

² Ibid, 7

³ Ibid, 6

⁴ Ibid, 27

⁵ Ibid

⁶ Ibid, 30

⁷ Ibid